

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

14

15 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
16 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 3:07-CV-05944

17 IN RE EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR JUDICIAL
18 ASSISTANCE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF
19 SUBPOENAS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1782
TO OBTAIN DISCOVERY FOR USE IN A
FOREIGN PROCEEDING

Case No. [pending]

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DECIDING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER CASES
SHOULD BE RELATED**

20 Sharp Corporation, Petitioner

21

22

23

24

25 A Motion for Administrative Relief to Consider Whether Cases Should be
26 Related pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12 has been filed. The time for filing an opposition or statement
27 of support has passed. As the judge assigned to the earliest filed case below that bears my
28 initials, I find that the more recently filed case below, *Ex Parte Application for Judicial*

1 *Assistance for the Issuance of Subpoenas Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 to Obtain Discovery for*
2 *Use in a Foreign Proceeding*, is related to the case assigned to me, Case No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC,
3 *In Re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, and such case is related and shall be
4 coordinated.

5 Case No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC, *In Re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*

6 Case No. [] , Ex Parte Application for Judicial Assistance for the Issuance
7 of Subpoenas Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 to Obtain Discovery for Use in a Foreign
Proceeding

8 | I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.

The administrative motion is **HEREBY GRANTED** and counsel are **ORDERED** as follows:

10 Counsel are instructed that all future filings in any reassigned case are to bear the
11 initials of the newly assigned judge immediately after the case number.

13 | Dated: June 27, 2012

United States District Court Judge